



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,566	03/06/2006	Jan Chipchase	059864.01866	7877
32294 7590 03/05/2010 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE 14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212				
EXAMINER				
CASCA, FRED A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2617				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/05/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/562,566

Applicant(s)

CHIPCHASE ET AL.

Examiner

FRED A. CASCA

Art Unit

2617

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-10 and 50-61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-10 and 50-61 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on December 14, 2009. Claims 1, 3, 4-10 and 50-61 are still pending in the present application. **This Action is made FINAL.**

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 60-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 60-61 are drawn to a "program" *per se* as recited in the preamble and as such is non-statutory subject matter. See MPEP § 2106.IV.B.1.a. Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer readable media are descriptive material *per se* and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., *Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure *per se* held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention, which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Similarly, computer programs claimed as computer listings *per se*, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the

programs are not physical “things.” They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not “acts” being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer, which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized.

Based on applicant's description in the specification, page 13, lines 5-8, “for example, from a computer program embodied on a record carrier,” a person of ordinary skill in the art can interpret the program as a signal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 1, 3-10 and 50-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelley et al (US 6,728,712 B1) in view of Green (US 2002/0077080 A1).

Referring to claim 1, Kelley discloses an apparatus (abstract, col. 1, lines 12-20 and figure 1, “client computer”, “network server”), the device comprising: at least one processor and at least one memory including computer program code, the at least one memory and the computer program code configured to, with the at least one processor, cause the apparatus to store a set of tags (Fig. 1, Col. 1, lines 12-15, Col. 4, lines,

8-1, Col. 4, line 39-40, "HTML tags to be converted by a web browser to be displayed on a monitor", "Database 14 is conventionally referred to a bookmark database", "store the web address or URL on a file called bookmark", note that bookmarks (tags) are stored in the database 14 of the client computer, thus, the processor and the program code are inherent) and for each tag store an associated network address (Fig. 1, Col. 1, lines 12-15, Col. 4, lines 10-11, "web address or URL"); provide a user interface (Fig. 1, "screen", "mouse-controlled curser") that enables a user to select one of the tags and cause the apparatus to initiate a connection to the network address associated with the tag (Col. 1, lines 15-20);

automatically alter the network address associated with the tag in response to a communication received from the network (Col. 3, lines 50-65, Col. 4, lines 23-26, "automatically updates the web address or URL in the bookmark file of a client", This change file is created by the server after it receives a record of a new URL from the owner of the web page", note that in response to a network server changing a URL address the network address associated with the tag (URL) is automatically altered).

Kelley further discloses the apparatus is capable of communicating with the network to request the network to transmit a communication automatically altering the network address associated with a tag (Kelley, Col. 1, lines 40-50 and col. 3, lines 50-65).

Kelley does not specifically disclose estimate the location of the apparatus, communicate with the network to request that the network transmit a communication that automatically alters the network address associated with a tar in dependence on the estimated location, and automatically alter the network address

associated with the tag in response to the communication received from the network in the format claimed.

Green discloses a mobile device that comprises a location estimator for estimating the location of the mobile communication device (Par. 19).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the above combination such that the apparatus of Kelley would be able to estimate its location, for the purpose of letting the network informed of its location, and consequently allowing the network to alter the network address associated with the tag and thus providing an efficient communication system.

Referring to claim 3, the combo of Kelley/Green discloses the apparatus in claim 1 and further discloses the user interface having a mode where a user can cause the mobile apparatus to transmit the said communication with the network to request transmission of the communication automatically altering the network address associated with the tag (Kelley, Col. 1, lines 46-50).

Referring to claim 4, the combo of Kelley/Green discloses the apparatus as claimed in claim 3 and further discloses device is arranged to transmit the said communication automatically (Kelley, Col. 1, lines 43-45).

Referring to claim 5, the combo of Kelley/Green discloses the apparatus as claimed in claim 4, and further disclose the device being arranged to detect a service provider of the network (Kelley, inherent e.g., by selecting a

bookmark) to which it is connecting, and to transmit said communication in response to a change in the service provider (Kelley, Col. 1, lines 43-45).

Referring to claim 6, the combination of Kelley/Green discloses the apparatus as claimed in claim 1 and further discloses that the tags and their associated network addresses are stored in a database (Kelley, Figure 1 and Col. 4, lines 1-15 and the rejection of claim 1 above).

The combination is silent on whether the tags and their associated network addresses being stored in a dynamic service card as claimed.

It would have been an obvious design choice to modify the combination of Kelley/Green such that the tags and their associated network addresses would be stored in dynamic service card since the applicant has not indicated that storing the tags and associated addresses in the dynamic service card would solve any stated problem or is for any particular purposes and it appears that having the tags and associated addresses stored in the database of Kelley would perform equally well.

Referring to claim 7, the combo of Kelley/Green discloses the apparatus as in claim 1, and further discloses the network address associated with the tag comprising at least one of: a telephone number; an email address; an uniform resource locator (Kelley, Col. 1, lines 12-15, "URL").

Referring to claim 8, is analogous the features of claim 1. Thus, it is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1.

Referring to claim 9, the combination of Kelley/Green discloses the apparatus as claimed in claim 8, and further discloses the at least one instruction instructs the mobile communication device to automatically alter the network address associated with a tag stored in the mobile

communication device to the network address associated with a tag stored in the network means (Kelley, Col. 3, lines 50-65, Col. 4, lines 23-26, “automatically updates the web address or URL in the bookmark file of a client”, This change file is created by the server after it receives a record of a new URL from the owner of the web page”, note that in response to a network server changing a URL address the network address associated with the tag (URL) is automatically altered).

Claim 10 recites features analogous to the features of claim 1. Thus, it is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1.

Referring to claim 50, Kelley discloses a method (abstract, col. 1, lines 12-20 and figure 1, “client computer”, “network server”), comprising: storing a set of tags and for each tag, storing an associated network address; providing a user interface that enables a user to select one of the tags and cause a mobile communication terminal to initiate a connection to the network address associated with the tag (Fig. 1, Col. 1, lines 12-15, Col. 4, lines 8-1, Col. 4, line 39-40, “HTML tags to be converted by a web browser to be displayed on a monitor”, “Database 14 is conventionally referred to a bookmark database”, “store the web address or URL on a file called bookmark”, note that bookmarks (tags) are stored in the database 14 of the client compute); communicating with the network to request that the network transmit a communication that automatically alters the network address associated with a tag (Col. 3, lines 50-65, Col. 4, lines 23-26, “automatically updates the web address or URL in the bookmark file of a client”, This change file is created by the server after it receives a record of a new URL from the owner of the web page”, note that in response to a network server changing a URL address the network address associated with the tag (URL) is automatically altered) and automatically

altering the network address associated with the tag in response to the communication received from the network (Kelley, Col. 1, lines 40-50 and col. 3, lines 50-65).

Kelley does not specifically disclose estimating the location of the mobile communication terminal; and in dependence on the estimated location altering the tag address in the format claimed.

Green discloses a mobile device that comprises a location estimator for estimating the location of the mobile communication device (Par. 19).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the above combination such that the apparatus of Kelley would be able to estimate its location, for the purpose of letting the network informed of its location, and consequently allowing the network to alter the network address associated with the tag and thus providing an efficient communication system.

Referring to claim 51, the combination of Kelley/Green discloses a method as claimed in claim 50, and further disclose comprising estimating the location of the mobile communication terminal, wherein the mobile communication terminal is configured to communicate with the network to request the network to transmit a communication automatically altering the network address associated with a tag in dependence on the location estimated by the mobile communication terminal (Kelley, Col. 1, lines 40-50 and col. 3, lines 50-65, and Green Par. 19).

Referring to claim 52, the combination of Kelley/Green discloses the method as claimed in claim 51, and further disclose the user interface has a mode where a user can cause the

apparatus to communicate with the network to request transmission of the communication automatically altering the network address associated with the tag (Figures 1-2, "screen").

Referring to claim 53, the combination of Kelley/Green discloses the method as claimed in claim 52, wherein the mobile communication terminal communicates with the network automatically (Kelley, Fig. 1-2 and Col. 1, lines 40-50 and col. 3, lines 50-65).

Referring to claim 54, the combination of Kelley/Green discloses the method as claimed in claim 53, further comprising: detecting a service provider of the network to which the mobile communication terminal is connecting, and to communicate with the network in response to a change in the service provider (Kelley, Fig. 4 and Col. 3, lines 11-65).

Referring to claim 55, combination of Kelley/Green discloses the method as claimed in claim 50, and further disclose tag and its associated network address are stored as a dynamic service card (see the rejection of claim 1 above).

Claim 56 is analogous to the features of claim 7. Thus, it is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above.

6. Claim 57-59 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelley et al (US 6,728,712 B1) in view of Kanefsky et al (US 2002/0026500 A1).

Referring to claim 57, Kelley discloses a method (abstract and Figures 2-4), comprising: storing a set of tags and for each tag, storing an associated network address (Fig. 1, Col. 1, lines 12-15, Col. 4, lines, 8-1, Col. 4, line 39-40, "HTML tags to be converted by a web browser to be displayed on a monitor", "Database 14 is conventionally referred to a bookmark database", "store the web address or URL on a file called bookmark"); and communicating at least one

instruction containing a tag and an associated network address with at least one mobile communication terminal (Figures 1-4 and Col. 1, lines 15-20), wherein the at least terminal is configured to communicate with a network to request that the network transmit a communication that automatically alters the network address associated with a tag in dependence on the estimated location (Col. 3, lines 50-65, Col. 4, lines 23-26, "automatically updates the web address or URL in the bookmark file of a client", This change file is created by the server after it receives a record of a new URL from the owner of the web page").

Kelley is silent on whether the communication device is a mobile communication device. In the same field of endeavor Kanefsky discloses a mobile communication device creating bookmarks for web addresses from a network (Fig. 1, Par. 26 and Par. 27, lines 31-38, "mobile device 10", "bookmark application", "mobile device 10 to store desired URLs as bookmarks").

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Kelley by incorporating the teachings of Kanefsky such that the tagging operations of Kelley is applied to mobile devices, for the purpose of expanding the advanced services to a larger group of subscribers, and thus providing an efficient communication system.

Referring to claim 58, the combination of Kelley/Kanefsky discloses the method as claimed in claim 57, wherein the at least one instruction instructs the mobile communication terminal to automatically alter a network address associated with a tag stored in the mobile

communication terminal to the network address associated with a tag stored in a network element (Col. 3, lines 50-65, Col. 4, lines 23-26,).

Referring to claim 59, the combination of Kelley/Kanefsky discloses the method as claimed in claim 57, further comprising: storing a list of associated tags for one or more of the at least one mobile communication terminal, and instructing the one or more of the at least one mobile communication terminal only to alter the network addresses associated with the tags associated with the mobile communication terminal identified in the list (Fig. 1, Col. 1, lines 12-15, Col. 4, lines 8-1, Col. 4, line 39-40, "HTML tags to be converted by a web browser to be displayed on a monitor", "Database 14 is conventionally referred to a bookmark database", "store the web address or URL on a file called bookmark").

Referring to claim 61, claim 61 recites features analogous to the features of claim 57, thus it is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above.

7. Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelley et al (US 6,728,712 B1) in view of Kanefsky et al (US 2002/0026500 A1) and still further in view of Green (US 2002/0077080 A1).

Referring to claim 60, Kelley discloses a computer program embodied on a computer-readable storage medium, the program configured to control a processor (abstract, figures 1-4 and col. 1, lines 12-20 and figure 1, "client computer", "network server") to: store a set of tags and for each tag, store an associated network address; provide a user interface that enables a user to select one of the tags and cause a mobile communication terminal to initiate a connection to the network address associated

with the tag (Fig. 1, Col. 1, lines 12-15, Col. 4, lines, 8-1, Col. 4, line 39-40, "HTML tags to be converted by a web browser to be displayed on a monitor", "Database 14 is conventionally referred to a bookmark database", "store the web address or URL on a file called bookmark");

communicate with the network to request that the network transmit a communication that automatically alters the network address associated with a tag (Col. 3, lines 50-65, Col. 4, lines 23-26, "automatically updates the web address or URL in the bookmark file of a client", This change file is created by the server after it receives a record of a new URL from the owner of the web page", note that in response to a network server changing a URL address the network address associated with the tag (URL) is automatically altered)

Kelley further discloses the apparatus is capable of communicating with the network to request the network to transmit a communication automatically altering the network address associated with a tag (Kelley, Col. 1, lines 40-50 and col. 3, lines 50-65).

Kelley does not specifically disclose estimate the location of the apparatus, communicate with the network to request that the network transmit a communication that automatically alters the network address associated with a tar in dependence on the estimated location, and automatically alter the network address associated with the tag in response to the communication received from the network in the format claimed.

Green discloses a mobile device that comprises a location estimator for estimating the location of the mobile communication device (Par. 19).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the above combination such that the apparatus of Kelley would be able to estimate its

location, for the purpose of letting the network informed of its location, and consequently allowing the network to alter the network address associated with the tag and thus providing an efficient communication system.

Kelley is silent on whether the communication device is a mobile communication device. In the same field of endeavor.

Kanefsky discloses a mobile communication device creating bookmarks for web addresses from a network (Fig. 1, Par. 26 and Par. 27, lines 31-38, "mobile device 10", "bookmark application", "mobile device 10 to store desired URLs as bookmarks").

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Kelley by incorporating the teachings of Kanefsky such that the tagging operations of Kelley is applied to mobile devices, for the purpose of expanding the advanced services to a larger group of subscribers, and thus providing an efficient communication system.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4-10 and 50-61 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred A. Casca whose telephone number is (571) 272-7918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Harper, can be reached at (571) 272-7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Fred A. Casca/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/VINCENT P. HARPER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617